1	Mechanical characterisation of the developing cell wall layers of tension wood fibres by Atomic Force Microscopy					
2						
3	O. Arnould <sup>1</sup> , M. Capron <sup>1a</sup> , M. Ramonda <sup>2</sup> , F. Laurans <sup>3</sup> , T. Alméras <sup>1</sup> , G. Pilate <sup>3</sup> , B. Clair <sup>1</sup>					
4						
5	Running title: Mechanical properties of developing secondary wall by AFM					
6	<sup>1</sup> LMGC, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, Montpellier, France					
7	<sup>2</sup> CTM, Univ. Montpellier, Montpellier, France					
8	<sup>3</sup> INRAE, ONF, BioForA, Orléans, France					
9	olivier.arnould@umontpellier.fr					
10	Date of submission:					
11	Number of tables: 1					
12	Number of figures: (colour in print) 9					
13	Supplementary data number of figures, tables or videos: 3 figures					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Now at: Michelin, Campus RDI, Cébazat, France

# 14 Highlight

New insights into the changes in mechanical properties within the cell wall of poplar tension woodfibres during maturation have been obtained using atomic force microscopy.

17

# 18 Abstract

19

20 Trees generate mechanical stresses at the stem and branches periphery to improve their strength and 21 to control the orientation of their axes. This key factor in the biomechanical design of trees, named 22 "maturation stress", occurs in wood fibres during cellular maturation when their secondary cell wall 23 thickens. In this study, the spatial and temporal stiffening kinetics of the different cell wall layers 24 were recorded during fibre maturation on a sample of poplar tension wood using atomic force 25 microscopy. The thickening of the different layers was also recorded. The stiffening of the CML, S1 26 and  $S_2$ -layers was initially synchronous with the thickening of the  $S_2$  layer and continued a little after 27 the S2-layer reached its final thickness as the G-layer begins to develop. In contrast, the global 28 stiffness of the G-layer, which initially increased with its thickening, was almost stable long before it 29 reached its final maximum thickness. A limited radial gradient of stiffness was observed in the G-30 layer, but it decreased sharply on the lumen side, where the new sub-layers are deposited during cell 31 wall thickening. Although very similar at the ultrastructural and biochemical levels, the stiffening 32 kinetics of the poplar G-layer appears to be very different from that described in maturing bast fibres.

33

### 34 Keywords

Atomic Force Microscopy; Cell wall; G-layer; Indentation modulus; Maturation; Poplar; Stiffening;
 Tension wood; Thickening.

37

# 38 Abbreviations

- 39 AFM: Atomic force microscopy
- 40 CML: Compound Middle Lamella
- 41 CCML: Cell Corner Middle Lamella
- 42 MFA: Microfibril angle
- 43 PF-QNM: Peak-force quantitative nano-mechanics
- 44

# 45 Introduction

46 Wood fibres have mechanical functions in the living tree. Mature wood fibres give the tree axis sufficient stiffness and strength to withstand its own weight and additional loads such as wind or 47 fruits (Niklas, 1992). In addition to this "skeletal" function, wood fibres also have a "muscular" 48 49 function that has two major goals. First, it allows the tree to control its posture by actively generating 50 forces that can bend the axes (stem, branches) upwards or compensate for the effect of gravity 51 (Alméras and Fournier, 2009; Fournier et al., 2014; Moulia et al., 2006; Scurfield, 1973). Second, it 52 improves axes resistance to bending loads, such as wind, by a beneficial stress profile (i.e., tensile 53 longitudinal stress at the periphery of the stem) (Bonser and Ennos, 1998; Alméras et al., 2018). 54 These mechanical stresses originate from physico-chemical changes of the fibre cell wall that would 55 result in major deformation if they were not prevented by the older, stiff tissue, surrounding them. In 56 place of strain, this leads to the development of a high mechanical stress named "maturation stress" 57 or "growth stress" (Archer, 1986). These fibre properties progressively built up during their development. 58

59

60 The development of wood fibres is usually described in three phases: division taking place in the cambium, extension during which each cells reached its final size and shape, and maturation during 61 62 which the secondary wall is developing. During this last maturation phase, constitutive polymers are progressively added to the wall from the cytoplasm, leading to the secondary wall thickening. The 63 64 kinetics of fibre extension and cell wall thickening are well known and can be deduced from 65 observations on transverse section using optical microscopy (Abedini et al., 2015; Andrianantenaina 66 et al., 2019; Pérez-de-Lis et al., 2022). However, the initial mechanical state of the deposited 67 polymers, the evolution of their mechanical properties or their stress state during maturation are more 68 difficult to measure and almost no data are available in the literature on these parameters. Data 69 collection of time and space changes in the mechanical properties of the secondary wall is a first step 70 toward a better understanding on the links between wood maturation and the building of wood quality. 71 Here, we propose to measure these changes in the peculiar case of tension wood formation.

72

Tension wood is produced by angiosperms and characterised by a strong tensile stress in the fibre axis direction of the order of several tens of MPa. In tension wood, mechanical stress is known to be mainly generated within a specific gelatinous cell wall layer, named the G-layer (Côté *et al.*, 1969; Dadswell and Wardrop, 1955; Fang *et al.*, 2008; Ghislain and Clair, 2017; Onaka, 1949). Fibres containing a gelatinous layer are widespread in the plant kingdom and can be present in various organs and tissues (Gorshkova *et al.*, 2018). Depending on their cell wall composition, fibres can be

79 classified either as lignin-rich (i.e., wood fibres) or virtually lignin-free, such as part of tension wood fibres (Ghislain et al., 2019) or bast fibres found in flax, hemp, ramie, nettle, etc (Gorshkova and 80 81 Morvan, 2006). It is this latter category of fibres that is often named gelatinous fibre. Some authors 82 have proposed to call this gelatinous layer a tertiary cell wall but a dedicated article exposes several 83 arguments arguing that this term is not appropriate (Clair et al., 2018). While poplar tension wood fibres are of secondary origin, flax fibres are primary phloem fibres, or bast fibres. However, these 84 85 gelatinous fibres have several similarities in the biochemical composition of their cell walls with a 86 high content in crystalline cellulose oriented parallel to the fibre axis, very little or no lignin as already 87 mentioned, a matrix of non-cellulosic polysaccharides rich in pectic  $\beta$ -(1-4)-galactans and, to a lesser 88 extent, of type II arabinogalactan. The same is observed when comparing the transcriptome of 89 developing tension wood fibres and of flax phloem fibres, with multiple, distinct chitinases, βgalactosidases, arabinogalactan proteins and lipid transfer proteins, compare, for example Roach et 90 91 al. (2007) and Déjardin et al. (2004). Moreover, the thickening of the cell wall of flax fibres involves 92 considerable remodelling of the deposited layers: indeed, the newly formed layers of the secondary 93 cell wall of developing flax fibres, referred to as immature Gn-layer, have a loose structure that will 94 get more and more compact and stiff during their maturation toward a G-layer (Goudenhooft et al., 95 2018; Petrova *et al.*, 2021).

96

97 The mechanisms responsible for the generation of high tensile stress during G-layer maturation are 98 still the subject of debate. Several hypothetical models have been proposed, which are reviewed in 99 Alméras and Clair (2016). Gaining knowledge on the chemical, physical and mechanical states of the 100 constitutive materials and their changes during cell wall maturation have proven particularly useful 101 in distinguishing between these models. For example, it has been observed that the G-layer contains 102 mesopores of several nanometres (Chang et al., 2009; Clair et al., 2008), and that these pores swell during maturation (Chang et al., 2015). It has also been shown that crystalline microfibrils are 103 104 progressively put under tension during maturation (Clair et al., 2011). The synchronicity between 105 these two phenomena supports the hypothesis that pore swelling is related to the induction of tensile 106 stress in the crystalline microfibrils and thus to maturation stresses in the G-layer (Alméras and Clair, 107 2016). A crucial factor is the change in cell wall stiffness during maturation. Indeed, using mechanical 108 modelling, it has been shown that the relative kinetics of stiffening and stress induction affect the 109 resulting state of stress in the tree (Alméras et al., 2005; Pot et al., 2014; Thibaut et al., 2001). As 110 reported by Thibaut et al. (2001), the tendency of the material to deform in response to physico-111 chemical changes can result in stress of high magnitude only if the cell wall is already sufficiently 112 stiff. To the best of our knowledge, information on the stiffening kinetics of wood cell wall layers is 113 currently lacking and the only measurements available are at the tissue scale (Grozdits and Ifju, 1969;

114 Pot *et al.*, 2013a; 2013b).

115

116 One of the most promising and frequently used techniques today, nanoindentation, probes the mechanical properties at the cell wall scale. It enables access to the mechanical properties within the 117 118 cell wall layers with modifications reduced to a minimum. This technique has already been used to 119 estimate the indentation modulus of mature native or thermo-mechanically modified cell walls of 120 wood fibres (Eder et al., 2013), lignifying spruce tracheid secondary cell walls (Gindl et al., 2002) 121 and (thick) fibre cell walls within a maturing vascular bundle of bamboo (Huang et al., 2016; Wang 122 et al., 2012). However, as widely recognized in the case of metallic materials, the radius of the plastically affected volume around the indenter is about three times the residual indent size for an 123 124 isotropic material, and even more for the elastically affected one (Johnson 1987; Sudharshan Phani and Oliver, 2019). This technique therefore requires a layer thickness at least three times the size of 125 126 the indent, which are typically in the micrometre range, to avoid measurement artefacts (Jakes et al., 127 2009). As the width of the cell wall layers in the developing and maturation stages vary from almost zero (cambium, beginning of the layer deposition) to a few micrometres (mature S<sub>2</sub> and/or G-layer), 128 interpreting the measurements obtained by nanoindentation in the presence of a gradient of properties 129 130 or within a thin layer is not straightforward, nor possible close to the cambium, due to boundary 131 effects. In such cases, atomic force microscopy (AFM) appears to be the best way to perform 132 mechanical measurements within each cell wall layer (Arnould and Arinero, 2015; Casdorff et al., 133 2017; 2018; Clair et al., 2003, Coste et al., 2021; Nair et al., 2010; Normand et al., 2021). This 134 technique has already been used to investigate, for example, the development of bast fibres within a flax stem (Goudenhooft et al., 2018) and of the primary cell walls in the inner tissues of growing 135 maize roots (Kozlova et al., 2019). 136

137

The aim of the present work was to measure changes in the indentation modulus of each cell wall 138 139 layer during the maturation of poplar tension wood fibres using AFM. As it was not possible to 140 monitor the maturation of a single cell over time, as a proxy, we chose to perform measurements on 141 several cells in the same row, from cambium to mature wood, that were therefore at different stages 142 of development. Using the kinetics of cell wall thickening as a basis for comparison, the stiffening of 143 the different layers of the cell wall was compared to other known phenomena such as changes in 144 mesoporosity and in crystalline cellulose strain. In addition, thanks to the nanometric spatial 145 resolution of AFM measurements, we investigated G-layer stiffening during thickening, i.e., the 146 kinetics of stiffening within the G-layer, and fluctuations in the mechanical states of a new freshly deposited sub-layer. Finally, the kinetics and stiffness gradient of the poplar G-layers were compared 147 148 with data available in the literature on flax phloem fibres containing a gelatinous layer.

149

#### 150 Materials and methods

#### 151 Sample preparation

152 The experiments were conducted on a wood sample cut out of a young hybrid poplar tree (Populus 153 tremula × Populus alba, INRA clone 717-1-B4) tilted to induce the production of tension wood. This 154 clone was chosen as it is easy to multiply and has therefore already been used for several studies 155 related to tension wood formation (Abedini et al., 2015; Guedes et al., 2017; Lafarguette et al., 2004). 156 This hybrid poplar plant was grown upright in a controlled greenhouse (located at INRAE, Orléans, 157 France) for two months before inducing the formation of tension wood on the upper side of its stem, 158 by tilting the plant 30° from the vertical and holding it in this position by binding the stem to a rigid 159 stick. No up-righting process was thus allowed, which ensured the formation of tension wood on almost all the length of the stem and during the whole period. Twenty-two days after tilting, a 5-cm 160 long stem section (estimated diameter 1 cm) was collected at the base of the stem, at around 10 cm 161 162 above the ground. Small wood sub-samples, a few mm in size, were cut out of the tension wood side 163 and fixed for 4 h in 2.5% formaldehyde and 0.1% glutaraldehyde in 0.1M McIlvaine citrate-phosphate 164 buffer, pH 6.8, followed by 3×10 min under moderate vacuum. After thorough rinsing in McIlvain 165 buffer, the sample was partially dehydrated in increasing series (25%, 50%, 70%) of ethanol and 166 progressively impregnated with LR-White medium grade resin (Agar Scientific Ltd, Stansted, UK), in a series of resin and ethanol mixes containing a progressively increasing percentage of resin (20% 167 2h, 40% 4h, 60% 4h, 80% 24h, 100% 2+8 days). During the last pre-embedding step, in pure resin, 168 the sample was placed under moderate vacuum for  $3 \times 10$  minutes. Finally, the samples were 169 embedded in gelatine capsules filled with pure resin and heated in an oven at 56°C for 24 h for 170 171 polymerization. Semi-thin transverse sections (0.5 to 1 µm) were cut with a Histo diamond knife 172 (Diatome Ltd, Nidau, Switzerland) installed on a Ultracut S microtome (Leica Microsystems, Vienna, 173 Austria) to trim the block. To avoid the deformation commonly observed in G-layers as a result of 174 their swelling, detachment and collapse after stress release (Clair et al., 2005a; 2005b), at least the 175 first 50 µm of the sample were trimmed and discarded. Finally, very thin sections (about 50 nm thick 176 in the last step) were made at a low cutting speed ( $\approx 1$  mm/s) using an Ultra AFM diamond knife 177 (Diatome Ltd, Nidau, Switzerland) to obtain a nearly perfect flat surface. AFM measurements were 178 carried out on the remaining block.

179

#### 180 Optical measurement of the cell wall layer thickness

181 After AFM experiments, semi-thin transverse sections (0.9 µm) were cut with a Histo diamond knife

182 (Diatome Ltd, Nidau, Switzerland) installed on an Ultracut R microtome (Leica Microsystems SAS,

183 Nanterre, France). These sections were stained using Richardson's azur II and methylene blue (Richardson et al., 1960) and mounted on slides using Canada balsam. The slides were observed 184 under a light microscope (DMLP, Leica Microsystems SAS, Nanterre, France) with immersion oil 185 lenses (Fig. 1). Richardson's staining makes possible an easy detection of the presence of the G-layer 186 187 in wood fibres of poplar, proof of the occurrence of tension wood. Conversely, the absence of G-layer indicates that no tension wood was present, as can be observed on the wood formed before tilting (on 188 189 the right side in Fig.1). This has been confirmed by the absence of an additional G-layer in the 190 following AFM observations.

191



192

Fig. 1. Optical image of the transverse section of the wood sample (Richardson's staining) with the tension wood (TW) area between the cambium and the normal wood (NW) produced before the tree was tilted. The reference distance from the cambium was measured approximately in the middle of the cambial zone. Scale bar = 0.1 mm.

197

Phase contrast microscopy is preferable to bright field microscopy when observing the cell wall layer 198 with high magnification ( $\times 600$ ) as the specimen is thin, so the colour contrast is reduced (Abedini et 199 200 al., 2015). Several images were acquired using a light microscope with a digital camera (DFC320, 201 Leica Microsystems SAS, Nanterre, France) from the cambium to a distance of about 2 mm from it 202 on the xylem side (i.e., with fully matured fibres), with a sufficient overlap to allow the image to be 203 repositioned to accurately measure the distance of each cell from the cambium. The mean thickness 204 of the S<sub>2</sub> and G layers was measured all along two radial rows using Matlab software (MathWorks Inc., Natick, Massachusetts, USA) according to the method of Yoshinaga et al. (2012). External 205 206 contours of the lumen, S<sub>2</sub> and G layers were plotted by hand from images and their average thickness 207 was calculated as (Abedini et al., 2015):

$$208 Th_G = \frac{2A_G}{P_G + P_{lumen}}, (1)$$

209 
$$Th_{S2} = \frac{2A_{S2}}{P_{S2} + P_G},$$
 (2)

where  $A_G$  and  $P_G$  are the area and the external perimeter of G-layer, respectively,  $A_{S2}$  and  $P_{S2}$  are the area and the external perimeter of the S<sub>2</sub> layer, respectively, and  $P_{lumen}$  is the lumen perimeter. The data presented in the present article show the thickness of each layer normalized by the mean cell diameter, *D*, which was evaluated as  $D = \frac{P_{S2}}{\pi}$ . The advantage of working with relative thickness is that it allows the effect of the fibre ends on the cell wall thickness to be corrected (Okumura *et al.*, 1977; Abedini *et al.*, 2015).

216

#### 217 AFM PF-QNM measurements

Mechanical characterisation was performed with a Multimode 8 AFM (Bruker, Palaiseau, France) in 218 219 PF-QNM imaging mode with a RTESPA-525-30 (Bruker) probe. The spring constant of the probe was calibrated by Bruker using a laser Doppler vibrometer with a value of 158 N/m. The initial tip 220 221 radius, 33 nm (controlled by Bruker), was checked after adjusting the cantilever deflection sensitivity 222 on sapphire and corrected to 40 nm to obtain the right range of indentation modulus on the centre of DuPont<sup>TM</sup> K48 Kevlar<sup>®</sup> fibres (~20 GPa) embedded in Epofix (Struers SAS, Champigny sur Marne, 223 224 France) epoxy resin (~4 GPa), as described in Arnould et al. (2017). The value of the tip radius was 225 checked indirectly, and if necessary corrected using the above-mentioned calibration sample, by 226 ensuring that the indentation modulus and the adhesion force in the embedding resin of the wood 227 sample remained constant around the wood sample and within the lumen in the cambial area. After 228 all the measurements, the final tip radius was 1 m. The applied maximum load was set at 200 nN 229 for all the measurements, the vertical motion for force-distance curves was set at a frequency of 2 230 kHz, and the fast scan rate was such that the scan speed was always equal to 8 µm/s regardless of the 231 size of the image ( $512 \times 512$  pixels), with a scan axis angle of 90°.

232

233 The force-distance curves obtained were automatically adjusted by a Derjaguin-Muller-Toporov (DMT) contact model (Derjaguin et al., 1975) to obtain the indentation modulus using Nanoscope 234 235 Analysis software (Bruker, Palaiseau, France), with an assumed spherical tip, a flat sample surface, 236 and taking the measured adhesion force into account. This model is one of the simplest and is suitable 237 for vitreous polymer resin and all wood cell wall layers, considering the relatively low values of their Tabor parameter (Johnson and Greenwood, 1997; Xu et al., 2007). The discernible layers, i.e., layers 238 239 that are thick enough to avoid the measurement being influenced by edge or topography effects, are 240 the cell corner middle lamella (CCML), S<sub>1</sub> with the primary wall (i.e., S<sub>1</sub>-P, as in most cases, these 241 two layers are almost impossible to distinguish), S2 and G-layers. For each of these layers, the

242 indentation modulus distribution was obtained using Gwyddion freeware (http://gwyddion.net/), see Fig. S1. This distribution can be adjusted with a Gaussian function that gives the value at the 243 244 maximum of the distribution (i.e., mode or most frequent value in the dataset) and the standard 245 deviation of the indentation modulus. Measurements were made on three different radial rows of 246 developing cells in the wood sample, one after the other, always starting from the cambium and 247 continuing up to a distance of about 1.7 mm away, with two overlapping sets of measurements for 248 the first row to check the stability and repeatability of the measurements. Twenty-four different 249 positions (and thus cells) were measured in the two first radial rows and 12 positions in the last row. 250 As soon as it was visible, the thickness of the S<sub>2</sub> and G layers was measured using the same protocol 251 as for the optical images, Eqs. (1) and (2). To complete our study, and to have a reference, we 252 measured the indentation modulus and the thickness of the cell wall layers in three normal wood cells 253 (one per radial row) that had differentiated before the tree was tilted and were therefore devoid of a 254 G-layer. All the data were assembled using Matlab software (The MathWorks Inc., Natick, 255 Massachusetts, USA).

256

Finally, the AFM values were checked by nanoindentation measurements on a few cells located mode (NanoBlitz) on a 200 × 200  $\mu$ m (20 × 20 pixels) area, with a maximum force of 0.1 mN and a loading frequency of 1 Hz.

- 261
- 262 **Results**

#### 263 Mapping the indentation modulus of developing fibres

264 The AFM measurements provided at least a map of the sample topography and a map of the 265 indentation modulus. Examples of typical maps obtained for a cell are given in Fig. 2, at a distance 266 of 740 µm from the cambium (first radial row). The different layers of the cell wall (cell corner middle 267 lamella CCML, primary cell wall P, secondary cell wall S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub> and G-layers) are clearly identifiable on the indentation modulus map due to their different elastic mechanical properties. Note that part of 268 the cell contents in the lumen are identifiable (Fig. 2b), while they are not visible in the topography 269 270 (Fig. 2a). The different cell wall layers are also quite easy to distinguish on the topography map because of the slight change in height between each layer. The height is almost uniform within the 271 272 G-layer, middle lamella and embedding resin in the lumen, whereas it varies around the 273 circumference in the S<sub>1</sub>-P and S<sub>2</sub>-layers. These variations are the opposite in the S<sub>1</sub>-P and S<sub>2</sub> (S<sub>1</sub>-P is 274 high when S<sub>2</sub> is low) and these extreme values were obtained perpendicular to the cutting direction 275 (white dashed arrow in Fig. 2a). These observations are typical of a cutting effect as previously

described in Arnould and Arinero (2015). Moreover, we observed limited orthoradial variations in the indentation modulus of the S<sub>2</sub>-layer around the cells. This proves that the wood fibres are rather well oriented perpendicular to the cutting direction and that there will be little (or even no) bias in the interpretation of the measurements due to sample misalignment (Arnould and Arinero, 2015). The distribution of the indentation modulus in the different layers in Fig. 2b is given in Fig. S1.

281



282

Fig. 2. PF-QNM mapping of (a) topography and (b) indentation modulus of the cross section of a
tension wood fibre at 740 µm from the cambium (first radial row). The different layers are identified:
P stands for primary wall and CCML for cell corner middle lamella. The lumen of the cell was filled
with LR-White resin. The white dashed arrow in (a) shows the microtome cutting direction (following
a scratch line due to imperfections of the diamond knife), the thick white arrow in (b) points to a thin
softer sub-layer that is more visible in Fig. 4, which is an enlargement of the white upper box in (b).

289

Fig. 3 shows the mechanical maps of all the cells measured in the first radial row. Progressive 290 thickening of the cell wall results in the appearance of the different layers of the secondary wall: the 291 292 first distinguishable  $S_2$  appears around 50 µm from the cambium (map with the green border in Fig. 3) 293 and first distinguishable G-layer around 230 µm from the cambium (map with the blue border in 294 Fig. 3). A continuous increase in the indentation modulus of the embedding resin is visible in the 295 lumen from 2.7±0.1 GPa in the cambium to 3.4±0.2 GPa at 1.7 mm. This increase was not observed 296 in the embedding resin outside the wood sample where the indentation modulus remained equal to 297 around 2.7±0.1 GPa in all the measurements. Moreover, immediate measurement of the indentation 298 modulus of the embedding resin in the lumen of cells in the cambium, taken just after the last 299 measured cell in a given row, showed a return to the initial value of 2.7±0.1 GPa.



Fig. 3. Indentation modulus maps of the different cells measured in the first radial row. The white number in the lumen refers to the distance of the cell from the cambium, the cells are arranged in rows from left to right and from top to bottom, with the cambium always on the left. The last map on the bottom right shows a normal wood (NW) cell, here before tilting (Fig. 1). The map at 50  $\mu$ m (green border) is the first map with a distinguishable S<sub>2</sub>-layer. The map at 230  $\mu$ m (blue border) is the first map with a distinguishable G-layer. Except for the maps at 548 and 740  $\mu$ m, the size of the maps is same in all the images. Scale bar = 5  $\mu$ m.

308

The indentation modulus obtained for the S<sub>2</sub>-layer of normal wood cells 2 mm from the cambium, was around 16.9 $\pm$ 5.5 GPa and its relative thickness was around 0.055 (see NW in Fig. 3). A more pronounced variation of the indentation modulus was observed in the S<sub>2</sub>-layer of this cell, which is probably due to a slight misorientation of the fibre with respect surface, as already described in Arnould and Arinero (2015).

314

The indentation moduli of the other layers were  $7.5\pm1.2$  for the CCML and  $8.2\pm3.1$  GPa for the S<sub>1</sub>layer, while the indentation modulus in the embedding resin in the lumen was  $2.99\pm0.21$ , a value close to that recorded in the cambium or outside of the bd sample. The indentation modulus was confirmed by nanoindentation in the embedding resin in the lumen and in the G-layer of a few cells 700 µm from the cambium with a value of  $3.5\pm0.15$  GPa and  $13.5\pm1.3$  GPa, respectively (see Table 1 for comparison).

321

Overall stiffening of the G-layer with increased distance from the cambium was clearly visible. A 322 323 radial pattern (radial lines in the cell wall) was also visible in the G-layer, as previously reported by 324 Sell and Zimmermann (1998). Some ring lamellae were also visible within the cell wall layers (e.g., 325 at 548, 740, 830, 930, 1024 and 1660 µm from the cambium in Fig. 3 and in the enlargement of 326 Fig. 2b in Fig. S2). This last structural pattern is consistent with the radial layer-by-layer thickening 327 of the wall and has been already reported, for example, in the S2-layer of wood fibres (Fahlén and 328 Salmén, 2002; Casdorff et al., 2018), in the G-layer of most Salicaceae species excepted in the poplar 329 genera (Ghislain et al., 2016), in mature (Hock, 1942) and developing G-layers of flax bast fibres 330 (Arnould et al., 2017; Goudenhooft et al., 2018) and in mature hemp fibres with a G-layer (Coste et 331 al., 2020).

332

At a distance from the cambium equal to or greater than 44 mm, a thin and soft sub-layer was visible on the lumen side at the border of the G-layer but only on the right side of the map (as shown in

Fig. 2b). The fact that this sub-layer is only visible on the right side of all cells can be attributed to a 335 336 cutting effect when the sample surface was prepared with the diamond knife, as the cutting direction is almost horizontal and proceeds from the right to the left (see Fig. 2a). As cutting effects are linked 337 338 to the mechanical behaviour of the cell wall, this sub-layer reveals a different behaviour than the rest 339 of the G-layer. The average indentation modulus of this sub-layer was around 8.2±2.6 GPa, close to 340 the value of the early G-layer, at a distance of 230-286 µm from the cambium, and its thickness was 341 around 100 nm in all cases. Fig. 4a gives a closer view of the G-layer at the top of the cell at 740 µm 342 from the cambium (white box in Fig. 2b) and Fig. 4b is the adhesion map obtained by AFM. Although 343 the sub-layer is not visible on the indentation map in Fig. 4a, a sub-layer with a thickness of around 344 100 nm and a lower adhesion force than the rest of the G-layer is also visible on the border of the 345 lumen in Fig. 4b. We can assume that it is the same sub-layer as that observed on the right side of the 346 indentation modulus maps. Moreover, its low adhesion force is close to that of the early G-layer (see 347 Fig. S3).

348



349

Fig. 4. a) Close-up of the indentation map of a cell taken at a distance of 740 μm from the cambium
corresponding to the white box in Fig. 2b with the associated adhesion map (b) highlighted sub-Glayer with lower adhesion force close to the lumen.



359 Phani and Oliver, 2019), we removed the first and last 100 nm from each profile (data points in grey 360 in Fig. 5). In contrast to the indentation modulus map in Figs. 2b and 3, where no mechanical gradient is visible in the developing G-layers, here a gradient was always visible on the last 500 nm or so on 361 the lumen side and became less pronounced with an increase in the distance from the cambium. The 362 363 gradient completely disappeared in the mature fibre (see Fig. 5 at 1 660 µm). It was not possible to 364 determine whether such a gradient existed in the S<sub>2</sub>-layer because, even if it were present, it would 365 be hidden by the effect of the apparent microfibril angle due to the slight misalignment of the sample 366 (Arnould and Arinero, 2015).





Fig. 5. Observation of the occurrence of a radial mechanical gradient during the maturation of the G-layer obtained by extracting radial profiles all around the cell axis in this layer and plotting them as a function of the distance from the S<sub>2</sub> layer, for fibres at six different distances from the cambium (value given at the top of each graph). The first and last 100 nm were removed from each profile (data points in grey) to avoid any bias due to possible measurement edge effects.

- 374
- 375



Fig. 6. Variations in the relative thickness of the cell wall layers measured by optical microscopy
(coloured dots) and AFM (empty circles) (top) and mode of the indentation modulus distribution
(bottom), as a function of the distance from the cambium. The solid lines and the shaded areas show
the mean tendency and standard deviation adjusted on these points.

381

# 382 Kinetics of global cell-wall layer thickening and stiffening

All the observations made above were also made in the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> radial rows. Changes in the mode of the indentation modulus distribution in each layer (e.g., see Fig. S1) are shown in Fig. 6, as a function of the distance from the cambium, together with the relative thickness of each layer. In Fig. 6, one point corresponds to one cell, whatever the radial rows, the continuous line corresponds to the mean trend adjusted on these points by a polynomial fit and the coloured ribbon to this fit shifted vertically by plus or minus the mean standard deviation on each layer of the cell wall.

389

390 In the case of the optical measurements of the thickness of the layers, it was not possible to separate 391 the S1 and S2-layers, unlike for the AFM measurements. The measurements of relative thickness made 392 by optical microscopy and AFM are consistent, but AFM enables detection of the appearance of the 393 cell wall layer and its thickening earlier than optical microscopy. The thickness of the S2 alone 394 obtained by AFM is thus logically smaller than S<sub>1</sub>+S<sub>2</sub> obtained by light microscopy. The relative thickness of the S<sub>2</sub>-layer increases until around 200 µm from the cambium then decreases a little 395 396 before reaching a stable value at a distance of around 500 µm from the cambium. The G-layers were 397 first detected close to 200 µm from the cambium. The relative thickness of the G-layer increased linearly and stabilised near 1 000  $\mu$ m. Thus, the relative thickness of S<sub>2</sub> was slightly higher before the 398 appearance of the G-layer. 399

400

A progressive increase in the indentation modulus of both the CCML (from  $4.6\pm0.7$  to  $6.1\pm0.7$  GPa) 401 402 and the S<sub>1</sub> layers (from  $5.6\pm1.5$  to  $6.8\pm1.3$  GPa) was observed until the end of the S<sub>2</sub> stiffening, at around 350 µm from the cambium. The very first S2-layers had indentation moduli of 5.1±1.4 GPa 403 404 and their stiffening and their thickening were initially synchronous. Later, when the S<sub>2</sub>-layers reached 405 their final thickness, their indentation modulus continued to increase and finally reached a value of 406 8.7±2.0 GPa. All these layers continued to stiffen when the G-layers began to thicken. In contrast, 407 the global stiffness of the G-layer reached an almost stable plateau (at around 500 µm from the 408 cambium) long before it attained its final maximum thickness (at around 1-000 µm from the 409 cambium).

As already mentioned, as curves in Fig. 6 correspond to the mode of the indentation modulus distribution (i.e., value at the maximum of the distribution or most frequent value, see Fig. S1), they do not reflect the gradient observed at about 500 nm from the edge of the G-layer on the lumen side due to the progressive maturation of a potentially freshly deposited sub-G-layer (Fig. 5). Furthermore, as shown in Fig. 5, the thickness of the G-layer at 550 µm from the cambium is such that most of the G-layer has completely stiffened, leading to the stabilised value of the indentation modulus reported in Fig. 6 for this distance from the cambium.



418

419 Fig. 7. Normalized indentation modulus of the  $S_2$  and G-layers from Fig. 6 as a function of the 420 distance from the cell where the layer concerned first appeared. The solid line corresponds to the 421 mean value.

422

To compare the kinetics of the stiffening of the  $S_2$  and G-layers, Fig. 7 shows the normalized indentation modulus (i.e., the modulus from Fig. 6 divided by its mean maximum value) as a function of the distance from the cell where the layer concerned first appeared (i.e., 50 µm from the cambium for  $S_2$  and 230 µm for G-layers, Fig. 3). This figure shows that the kinetics of the two layers are quite similar, i.e., it took a distance of around 250 µm to globally reach their mature modulus. However, it appears to be faster for the G-layer as the change in modulus from the first deposited layer to the final mature one is larger.

#### 431 **Discussion**

432 Our main results revealed: i) initial synchronous stiffening of the CML, S<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>2</sub>-layers with the

433 thickening of the S<sub>2</sub>-layers, which continues a little after the S<sub>2</sub>-layer has reached its final thickness

434 while the G-layer starts to develop; ii) initial global stiffening of the G-layer synchronous with its

435 thickening but stable global stiffness reached long before its final maximum thickness; iii) a stiffness

436 gradient over about 500 nm on the lumen side in the developing G-layer with a softer sub-layer at the

- 437 lumen edge about 100 nm in thickness.
- 438

## 439 Potential effects of sample preparation on the measurements

440 The different steps of sample preparation protocol made it impossible to keep the sample in its native 441 in planta green state: we thus cannot rule out the possibility that modifications of the different layers 442 of the cell wall during the ethanol exchange and resin embedding had some impacts on its mechanical 443 properties but, for the reasons detailed below, we believe that we achieved a good compromise. 444 Indeed, this preparation was necessary to ensure reliable mechanical measurements at small scale by 445 AFM. Since all the measurements had to be comparable, this treatment minimised artifacts caused by roughness of the sample surface (Peaucelle, 2014). Indeed, mechanical measurements based on 446 447 indentation require samples with a surface that is as flat as possible, compared to the radius of the 448 AFM tip, to enable the use of reliable and simple contact mechanics models. These models are needed 449 to extract the indentation modulus from the contact stiffness (Arnould and Arinero, 2015) or from the 450 force-distance curves (Hermanowicz et al., 2014). In addition, the AFM tip is very brittle and surface 451 roughness has to be as low as possible to reduce the risk of tip wear or breakage: this is especially 452 important in the present study where we had to perform many measurements using the same probe to 453 limit measurement bias or drift. Moreover, AFM measurements at such a small scale are only 454 sensitive to the very near sample surface. Damage during preparation of the sample surface should 455 therefore be reduced to the strict minimum. In addition, as we expected to find evidence for the 456 existence of a mechanical gradient during the thickening of the cell wall layers, we had to begin 457 taking measurements as close as possible to the cambium, where the cell wall is very thin and soft. This is only possible when the sample has been previously embedded to avoid, or at least reduce, 458 459 deformation and damage during cutting and measurements. In addition, cell wall thickening 460 progresses from the lumen side of the cell wall and, without embedding, measurements made close 461 to the lumen would be highly modified due to border effects (Jakes et al., 2008; Jakes et al., 2009) 462 unless the lumen is filled with a sufficiently stiff substance such as resin. Finally, these embedding 463 steps reduce cell wall layer deformation during the cutting process and avoid swelling, detachment 464 and collapse of the G-layer commonly observed after stress release (Clair et al., 2005a; 2005b).

465

466 Other studies have shown that LR-White embedding resin has little impact on the mechanical 467 properties of the cell wall due to very limited penetration into the cell wall of normal wood (Coste et 468 al., 2021) and a priori in the G-layers of tension wood (Arnould and Arinero, 2015) and of other 469 similar fibre cell walls such as in flax (Arnould et al, 2017) and hemp (Coste et al., 2020). What is 470 more, the use of ethanol is expected to cause only slight deformation of the wall. For example, Chang 471 et al. (2012) showed that ethanol dehydration produced longitudinal macroscopic shrinkage of only 472 0.2% and volumetric swelling of only 0.5%. It is possible to avoid ethanol dehydration by drying the 473 sample at moderate temperature just before embedding (Konnerth et al., 2008). However, in the 474 present biomechanical context with the G-layer, such a drying step would lead to very significant 475 changes in the cell wall ultrastructure, such as mesoporosity collapse (Clair et al., 2008).

476

477 The main impact of sample preparation on the mechanical properties of the cell wall is in fact its 478 potential effects on the moisture content of the different layers. Indeed, sample preparation probably 479 modified moisture content from a green state to close to an air-dry state. The effect of moisture content on the mechanical properties of the different cell wall layers has already been measured by 480 481 nanoindentation in the cell corner middle lamella and the S<sub>2</sub>-layer of different woody species using 482 samples that were embedded (Wagner et al., 2015) or not (Bertinetti et al., 2015; Meng et al., 2015). 483 These studies revealed a similar trend with a reduction of the indentation modulus from one third to 484 one half for the S<sub>2</sub>-layer and at least one half for CCML, between an air-dry and saturated state. A 485 more recent study (Coste et al., 2020), using AFM PF-QNM in similar conditions to those used in 486 our study, focused on the effect of the moisture content on the mechanical properties of hemp 487 sclerenchyma fibres (containing a thick G-layer with similar characteristics to those of the tension 488 wood G-layer) and xylem fibres. In their study, AFM measurements of all the cell wall layers revealed 489 no major differences between layers, with a reduction of the indentation modulus of about one half 490 when the relative humidity varied from 13% to 83%. If we extrapolate these variations to our study, 491 the indentation modulus values reported here are overestimated compared to the values in planta but 492 the relative differences observed between layers, or within a layer (gradient), are most probably 493 comparable to what happens in the tree.

494

495 Indentation modulus and its variations in the different layers of the cell wall

We observed an increase in the indentation modulus of the embedding resin in the lumen, with increased distance from the cambium, but it goes to values measured in the cambial zone in the normal wood (before tilting) cells lumen. The origin of this increase during fibre maturation is not 499 yet understood but is unlikely to be due to wear of the AFM tip as demonstrated by the repeatability of the measurements in the cambial cells performed after measurements of each row, which were also 500 501 identical to those obtained at the end of all measurements in the lumen of the normal wood cells or 502 in the resin outside the sample. Stiffening thus appears to be associated with the change in the contents 503 of the lumen with the maturation of the fibres (as shown in Fig. 3). In cambial cells, the plasma 504 membrane and cytoplasm are bound to the inner part of the cell wall. Cambial cells are highly 505 vacuolated, and the large vacuole pushes the cell organelles outwards. There is therefore little material 506 inside the lumen (vacuole contents), which may explain why the indentation modulus measured in 507 the resin in the centre of cambial cells is close to that measured in normal wood cells that have lost 508 all their cell contents. Finally, Table 1 shows that our LR-White indentation modulus values were the 509 lowest compared to other authors' data, but te confirmed by nanoindentation. This is probably due to differences in the calibration procedure between laboratories or to the variability of the resin itself, 510 511 as different grades (soft, medium, and hard) of this resin are available.

512

513 The values of the indentation modulus in the different layers and the embedding resin are consistent 514 with the (rather scattered) AFM data or nanoindentation measurements of wood cell walls available 515 in the literature (Arnould and Arinero, 2015; Clair et al., 2003; Coste et al., 2021; Eder et al., 2013; Liang et al., 2020; Normand et al., 2021), although in the low range compared literature data on the 516 G-layer of poplar or tension wood (see Table 1). These low values can be partly explained by the 517 518 young age of the tree used in our study (less than 3-month old). Indeed, the juvenile wood is known 519 for its high microfibril angle (MFA) in the S<sub>2</sub>-layer and its low cellulose content (Luo et al., 2021). These windentation modulus values may also result from the fact that the cell used as an example 520 in Fig. 2 was not fully mature. The values of the indentation modulus in the G-layer of a mature cell 521 522 increased to around 18.3±3.1 GPa on average (see Fig. 6), a value in the same range of the ones cited 523 in the literature (Table 1).

524

525 The low value obtained for the mature S<sub>2</sub>-layer in the tension wood area compared to the value in 526 normal wood can be explained by a marked difference in MFA between the S<sub>2</sub>-layers of normal wood 527 (with a low MFA and therefore a high indentation modulus) and the S<sub>2</sub>-layers of tension wood (with 528 a high MFA and therefore a small indentation modulus, Eder et al., 2013; Jäger et al., 2011). To 529 explain this difference (equal to a factor of about 2) between the indentation moduli, we can roughly 530 esti e from published data that the MFA is around 5-10° in normal wood whereas it is 30-40° in the S<sub>2</sub> of tension wood (Arnould and Arinero, 2015; Jäger *et al.*, 2011). This is also in agreement with **531** the value of MFA reported for the  $S_2$ -layer in tension wood for poplar by Goswami *et al.* (2008). 532 533 Likewise, the order of magnitude of the values of indentation modulus obtained for the different 534 layers of normal wood is in agreement with other literature data (Table 1).

535 Table 1. Comparison of the value of the indentation modulus (in GPa) in the different layers of mature

536 wood fibres in our study and in the literature.

	LR-White				
	resin	ML			
Reference	(lumen)	(CC)	$S_1$	$S_2$	G
This study, developing tension wood	3.10±0.29	5.4±1.0	6.5±1.4	8.3±2.2	13.0±3.1
(740 µm, Figs. 2 and S1)					
This study, mature tension wood	3.35±0.27	5.9±1.0	6.7±1.2	8.2±2.6	16.5±3.3
(1660 µm, Fig. 3)					
This study, mature normal wood	2.99±0.21	7.5±1.2	8.2±3.1	16.9±5.5	n.a.
(NW, Fig. 3)					
Normand <i>et al.</i> (2021) (peplar)	3.9±1.8	9.9±1.2	11.3±0.3	16.4±0.4	16.8±0.5
Clair et al. (2003) (oak, no embedding)	n.a.	5-7	8-9	9-10	10-12
Arnould and Arinero (2015) (chestnut)	3.5±1.5	6±0.5	n.a.	13±0.5	15±1.5
Liang et al. (2020) (poplar, no	n.a.	n.a.	6.89-	10.57-	11.13-
embedding)			10.48	14.61	18.5
Coste et al. (2021) (poplar)	4.5±0.9	10.7±2	16.0±3.8	18.2±3.5	n.a.

537

538 Kinetics of global thickening and stiffening of the cell-wall layers

539 The CCML, S1 and S2-layers continued to stiffen while G-layer was developing (Fig. 6). This is in 540 agreement with the fact that the lignification of S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>-layers and CCML occurs during the formation 541 of the G-layer (Yoshinaga et al., 2012). This lignification after the G-layer starts to thicken may be explained by the presence of additional matrix material that has been transported through the existing 542 wall. Alternatively, some precursors may already be present and are used in biochemical reactions 543 544 that continue during the deposition of the G-layer. The effect of lignification on the mechanical 545 properties of the cell wall is not yet well understood, with different studies sometimes reporting 546 conflicting results, but recent studies tend to confirm the hypothesis that lignification mainly affects 547 the shear modulus and the strength of the matrix (Özparpucu et al., 2017; 2019), with higher content leading to a higher modulus and greater strength. The indentation modulus is sensitive to the 548 longitudinal modulus but also to the transverse and shear moduli (Jäger et al., 2011), which are mainly 549 550 influenced by the cell wall matrix. Therefore, when lignification modifies the cell wall matrix 551 properties, this results in a significative change in the indentation modulus, as already shown by 552 nanoindentation (Gindl et al., 2002). Finally, Fig. 7 shows that the stiffening kinetics appear similar although faster in the G-layer than in the S<sub>2</sub>-layers suggesting that the physical and chemical changes
or reactions at work during cell wall maturation are faster in the G-layer (e.g., microfibrils aggregation
or gelatinous matrix swelling (Alméras and Clair, 2016)) than in the S<sub>2</sub>-layer (e.g., lignification).

556

557 The fact that the relative thickness of the S<sub>2</sub>-layer decreases slightly when the G-layer is starting to develop has already been observed. For example, Abedini et al. (2015) reported that this is a common 558 trend throughout the growing season in both normal and tension wood of poplar trees. Moreover, 559 the changes and mature value of the relative thickness of the G and S<sub>2</sub> layers in Abedini et al. (2015), 560 561 Chang et al. (2015) and Clair et al. (2011) are similar to our measurements. We therefore assume that 562 we can use the relative thickening of the different wall layer as a common spatial reference to link 563 different studies. If we combine our results with those of previous studies, the G-layer appears to 564 synchronously stabilise its thickness, whole indentation modulus (i.e., no more radial gradient), meso-565 pore size (Chang et al., 2015) and cellulose tensile strain (Clair et al., 2011) at the end of the 566 maturation. These observations suggest that the different changes involved in the maturation process 567 of the G-layer start, evolve and end at approximately the same fibre development stage. These 568 physico-chemical observations now need to be coupled with biochemical analyses to better 569 understand the mechanisms involved in G-layer maturation, and possibly to establish relationships 570 between matrix stiffening, bridging between microfibrils and wall compaction (Alméras and Clair, 571 2016; Gorshkova et al., 2015; Mellerowicz and Gorshkova, 2012).

572

573 According to the radial profiles of the indentation modulus (Fig. 5), a smooth mechanical gradient occurs in immature G-layer on less than 0.5 µm on the lumen side with a small sublayer of about 574 575 100 nm. This sublayer appears to be as dense as the mature part of the layer and could be either a 576 freshly deposited immature G-layer or part of the periplasmic area still bound to the layer. Indeed, 577 periplasmic area, located between the inner part of the G-layer and the plasma membrane, is the scene of intense biochemical processes, see Fig. 2 in Pilate et al. (2004), Fig. 5 in Guedes et al. (2017) or 578 579 Fig. 7 in Decou et al. (2020). In contrast, flax bast fibres exhibit a strong mechanical gradient with a 580 thick immature, loose and soft G-layer, called G<sub>n</sub> (Gorshkova and Morvan, 2006; Gorshkova et al., 581 2010). Evidence for the presence of this thick G<sub>n</sub>-layer has also been provided in flax xylem tension 582 wood fibres (Petrova et al., 2021). Interestingly, the indentation modulus is similar, or even a little 583 bit higher, in flax G-layers than in mature poplar G-layers, while the average indentation modulus is 584 in the same range in flax G<sub>n</sub>-layers, in immature poplar G-layers in fibres close to the cambium and 585 in inner sub-layers observed in more developed G-fibres.

- 586
- 587



589

Fig. 8. Comparison of the G and  $G_n$ -layers in developing flax bast fibre (60 days, half height of the stem) adapted from Arnould et al. (2017): a) indentation modulus map and b) adhesion map corresponding to the white box in the topography image (c).

593

In a typical developing flax fibre, both indentation modulus (Arnould *et al.*, 2017; Goudenhooft *et al.*, 2018) and adhesion force exhibit a sharp transition between G and  $G_n$ -layers as shown in Fig. 8. However, the sublayers observed as lamellae in the  $G_n$  have indentation modulus and adhesion force similar to those measured in the G-layer. These lamellae are separated by bands whose indentation modulus is close to that of the resin, but with a lower adhesion force. This lamellar arrangement is not observed in poplar, even though ring lamellae structure of this type is sometimes discernible in the mature part of the G-layer (*e.g.*, see cells at a distance of 548, 740, 830, 930, 1 024 and 1 660  $\mu$ m from the cambium in Figs. 3 and S2). The most significant structure in the poplar G-layer appears as radial bands (*e.g.*, see tension wood fibres at a distance of more than 740  $\mu$ m in Fig. 3). This pattern may reflect biological organisation, but we cannot exclude a possible consequence of a slight shrinkage of the G-layer during dehydration with ethanol (Fang *et al.*, 2007).

605

Note that it is not possible to compare the absolute value of adhesion forces obtained in the present study (Fig. 4b) with the values obtained in Arnould *et al.* (2017) (in Fig. 8b) as this force depends to a great extent on the shape of the tip and on the surface roughness of the material, which were not the same (see for example the difference in adhesion forces of the embedding resin in the lumen in the two studies, even though the same resin was used).

611



Fig. 9. Comparative scheme of the maturation (thickening and stiffening) of the G-layer of flax and
poplar.

615

612

Although the G-layer of tension wood and the G-layer of flax are biochemically, ultrastructurally and mechanically similar (Coste *et al.*, 2020; Guedes *et al.*, 2017; Gorshkova and Morvan, 2006; Gorshkova *et al.*, 2018; Petrova *et al.*, 2021), they clearly differ in the kinetic of their development and maturation, as summarised in Fig. 9. Indeed, in flax, a thick and loose multilayered  $G_n$ -layer stiffens and densifies abruptly, whereas, in poplar, it is a thin and dense immature layer that stiffens gradually. Further complementary analyses including immunochemistry need to be done to clarify the origin of these differences.

623

# 624 **Conclusion**

The use of AFM makes possible to measure simultaneously the stiffening and thickening kinetics of different cell wall layers: this provides novel and precious insight into the kinetics of the maturation of any kinds of wood fibre. In this study, we applied this technique onto poplar tension wood fibres containing a G-layer: this revealed that the G-layer reaches its near final stiffness long before its final thickness. In addition, we evidenced a radial mechanical gradient localised at the lumen periphery that remains throughout the thickening and disappear very late in mature G-layers. This contrasts with the maturation kinetics of the other cell wall layers, where thickening and stiffening are mostly synchronous. Finally, although the G-layer in poplar tension wood fibres and in flax phloem fibres are biochemically, ultrastructurally and mechanically similar, it is clear here that they differ in the kinetic of their development and maturation.

635

636 The data collected in this study is not sufficient on its own to discriminate among the hypothetical mechanisms of maturation stress generation, reviewed in Alméras and Clair (2016), which are 637 638 involved. In this last article, the authors found that four mechanisms were admissible to explain stress 639 generation in tension wood: (i) stress generation in amorphous cellulose domain in series with 640 crystalline domain in the microfibrils, (ii) active binding of microfibrils by a (still unspecified) 641 material, (iii) entrapment of material during microfibrils aggregation and cell wall compaction as suggested for flax bast fibres (Goudenhooft et al., 2018) (see Fig. 8b-c too) and (iv) swelling of the 642 matrix in a connected cellulose network. In order to discriminate these different mechanisms, it is 643 644 necessary to estimate their respective effects on the mechanical properties of the cell wall, and to estimate the resulting effect on the indentation modulus. Indentation modulus is a complex 645 combination of different elastic parameters, particularly longitudinal, transverse and shear elastic 646 647 properties (Jäger et al., 2011), the two lasts are particularly sensitive to the "matrix" moduli (i.e., 648 matrix and binding between microfibrils). Thus, the first mechanism of maturation stress generation 649 would probably have almost no effect on the cell wall mechanical properties, if not accompanied by a change in the matrix mechanical properties. Active binding and cellulose aggregation may have 650 651 similar stiffening effect, in that it would lead to an increase in the shear and transverse elastic properties of the cell wall. Matrix swelling could lead to an apparent stiffer matrix (isotropic) 652 653 property, but probably with lighter effect than the two previous mechanisms. So, information about 654 the change along the maturation process in the cell wall longitudinal, shear and transverse properties ratio is critical. Finally, more than one mechanism could be involved together or at different step of 655 the maturation. For example, it is possible that the slight and homogeneous increase in the indentation 656 657 modulus that can be seen in Fig. 5 between 1024 µm and 1660 µm from the cambium and in Fig. 6 for a distance from the cambium greater than 900 µm, so after the stiffening process described in the 658 659 present study, was due to another stiffening mechanism. Further studies on the composition and 660 structure of the G-layer (including, for example, immunochemistry) definitely need to be done in 661 order to advance our knowledge.

## 663 Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to C. Assor (UMR IATE, Sup'Agro, INRAE Montpellier, France) for fruitful discussions and to D. Pellerin (ScienTec) for nanoindentation measurements. This work was performed in the framework of the project "StressInTrees" (ANR-12-BS09-0004) funded by the French National Research Agency (ANR).

668

## 669 Author contributions

OA participated in sample preparation, supervised and designed all the experiments and data analysis, performed some of them, and contributed to writing the original draft of the paper. MC performed some of the experiments and the data analysis, wrote the original draft of the paper. MR supervised and performed all the experiments. FL prepared the sample and contributed fruitful discussions to the data analysis. TA contributed to data analysis and to writing the original draft of the paper. GP contributed to data analysis. BC contributed to data analysis, conceptualised and supervised the whole project. All the authors reviewed and edited the paper and approved the final version.

677

### 678 Data availability statements

The datasets used during the current study are freely available on the open repository website Zenodo:
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6487575.

681

## 682 **References**

Abedini R, Clair B, Pourtahmasi K, Laurans F, Arnould O. 2015. Cell wall thickening in
 developing tension wood of artificially bent poplar trees. IAWA Journal 36, 44-57.

Andrianantenaina AN, Rathgeber CBK, Pérez-de-Lis G, Cuny H, Ruelle J. 2019. Quantifying
 intra-annual dynamics of carbon sequestration in the forming wood: a novel histologic approach.
 Annals of Forest Science 76(3), 1-12.

- Alméras T, Gril J, Yamamoto H. 2005. Modelling anisotropic maturation strains in wood in relation
   to fibre boundary conditions, microstructure and maturation kinetics. Holzforschung 59, 347-353.
- Alméras T, Fournier M. 2009. Biomechanical design and long-term stability of trees:
   Morphological and wood traits involved in the balance between weight increase and the gravitropic
   reaction. Journal of Theoretical Biology 256, 370-381.
- Alméras T, Clair B. 2016. Critical review on the mechanisms of maturation stress generation in
   trees. Journal of the Royal Society Interface 13, 20160550.

- Alméras T, Jullien D, Gril J. 2018. Modelling, evaluation and biomechanical consequences of
   growth stress profiles inside tree stems. In: Geitmann A, Gril J, eds. Plant biomechanics: From
   structure to function at multiple scale. Berlin: Springer, 21-48.
- Archer RR. 1986. Growth stresses and strains in trees. In: Timell TE, ed. Springer Series in Wood
   Science, Berlin Heidelberg: Springer.
- Arnould O, Arinero R. 2015. Towards a better understanding of wood cell wall characterisation
   with contact resonance atomic force microscopy. Composites: Part A 74, 69-76.
- Arnould O, Siniscalco D, Bourmaud A, Le Duigou A, Baley C. 2017. Better insight into the nano mechanical properties of flax fibre cell walls. Industrial Crops and Products 97, 224-228.
- Bertinetti L, Hangen UD, Eder M, Leibner P, Fratzl P, Zlotnikov I. 2015. Characterizing
   moisture-dependent mechanical properties of organic materials: humidity-controlled static and
   dynamic nanoindentation of wood cell walls. Philosophical Magazine 95, 1992-1998.
- Bonser RHC, Ennos AR. 1998. Measurement of prestrain in trees: implications for the determination
   of safety factors. Functional Ecology 12, 971-974.
- Casdorff K, Keplinger T, Burgert I. 2017. Nano-mechanical characterization of the wood cell wall
   by AFM studies : comparison between AC- and QI mode. Plant Methods 13, 60.
- Casdorff K, Keplinger T, Rüggeberg M, Burgert I. 2018. A close-up view of the wood cell wall
  ultrastructure and its mechanics at different cutting angles by atomic force microscopy. Planta 247,
  1123-1132.
- Chang SS, Clair B, Ruelle J, Beauchêne J, Di Renzo F, Quignard F, Zhao GJ, Yamamoto H,
  Gril J. 2009. Mesoporosity as a new parameter in understanding of tension stress generation in trees.
  Journal of Experimental Botany 60, 3023-3030.
- Chang SS, Quignard F, Di Renzo F, Clair B. 2012. Solvent polarity and internal stresses control
  the swelling behavior of green wood during dehydration in organic solution. BioResources 7, 24182430.
- Chang SS, Quignard F, Alméras T, Clair B. 2015. Mesoporosity changes from cambium to mature
   tension wood: a new step toward the understanding of maturation stress generation in trees. New
   Phytologist 205, 1277-1287.
- Clair B, Arinero R, Leveque G, Ramonda M, Thibaut B. 2003. Imaging the mechanical properties
   of wood cell wall layers by atomic force modulation microscopy. IAWA Journal 24, 223-230.
- Clair B, Gril J, Baba K, Thibaut B, Sugiyama J. 2005a. Precaution for the structural analysis of
   the gelatinous layer in tension wood. IAWA Journal 26, 189-195.
- Clair B, Thibaut B, Sugiyama J. 2005b. On the detachment of gelatinous layer in tension wood
  fibre. Journal of Wood Science 51, 218-221.
- Clair B, Gril J, Di Renzo F, Yamamoto H, Quignard F. 2008. Characterization of a gel in the cell
   wall to elucidate the paradoxical shrinkage of tension wood. Biomacromolecules 9, 494-498.
- Clair B, Alméras T, Pilate G, Jullien D, Sugiyama J, Riekel C. 2011. Maturation stress generation
   in poplar tension wood studied by synchrotron radiation micro-diffraction. Plant Physiology 155,
   562-570.
- Clair B, Déjardin A, Pilate G, Alméras T. 2018. Is the G-layer a tertiary cell wall? Frontiers in
  Plant Science 9, 623.
- Coste R, Pernes M, Tetard L, Molinari M, Chabbert B. 2020. Effect of the interplay of
   composition and environmental humidity on the nanomechanical properties of hemp fibers. ACS
   Sutainable Chemistry and Engineering 8, 6381-6390.

- 739 Coste R, Soliman M, Bercu NB, Potiron S, Lasri K, Aguié-Béghin V, Tetard L, Chabbert B,
- 740 **Molinari M.** 2021. Unveiling the impact of embedding resins on the physicochemical traits of wood 741 cell walls with subcellular functional probing. Composites Science and Technology **201**, 108485.
- 742 Côté WA, Day AC, Timell TE. 1969. A contribution to the ultrastructure of tension wood fibers.
  743 Wood Science and Technology 3, 257-271.
- 744 Dadswell HE, Wardrop AB. 1955. The structure and properties of tension wood. Holzforschung 9,
   745 97-104.
- Decou R, Labrousse P, Béré E, Fleurat-Lessard P, Krausz P. 2020. Structural features in tension
   wood and distribution of wall polymers in the G-layer of in vitro grown poplars. Protoplasma 257,
   13-29.
- Déjardin A, Leplé JC, Lesage-Descauses MC, Costa G, Pilate G. 2004. Expressed sequence tags
   from poplar wood tissues a comparative analysis from multiple libraries. Plant Biology 6, 55-64.
- Derjaguin BV, Muller VM, Toporov, YP. 1975. Effect of contact deformations on the adhesion of
   particles. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science 53, 314-326.
- Eder M, Arnould O, Dunlop JWC, Hornatowska J, Salmén L. 2013. Experimental
   micromechanical characterisation of wood cell walls. Wood Science and Technology 47, 163-182.
- Fahlén J, Salmén L. 2002. On the lamellar structure of the tracheid cell wall. Pant Biology 4, 339345.
- Fang CH, Clair B, Gril J, Alméras T. 2007. Transverse shrinkage in G-fibers as a function of cell
   wall layering and growth strain. Wood Science and Technology 41, 659-671.
- Fang CH, Clair B, Gril J, Liu S. 2008. Growth stresses are highly controlled by the amount of G layer in poplar tension wood. IAWA Journal 29, 237-246.
- Fournier M, Alméras T, Clair B, Gril J. 2014. Biomechanical action and biological functions. In:
   Gardiner B, Barnett J, Saranpää P, Gril J, eds. The biology of reaction wood. Berlin: Springer, Berlin,
   139-169.
- Ghislain B, Nicolini EA, Romain R, Ruelle J, Yoshinaga A, Alford MH, Clair B. 2016.
  Multilayered structure of tension wood cell walls in *Salicaceae sensu lato* and its taxonomic
  significance. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 182, 744-756.
- Ghislain B, Clair B. 2017. Diversity in organisation and lignification of tension wood fibre walls –
   a review. IAWA Journal 38, 245-265.
- Ghislain B, Engel J, Clair B. 2019. Diversity of anatomical structure of tension wood among 242
   tropical tree species. IAWA Journal 40, 765-784.
- Gindl W, Gupta HS, Grünwald C. 2002. Lignification of spruce tracheid secondary cell walls
   related to longitudinal hardness and modulus of elasticity using nano-indentation. Canadian Journal
   of Botany 80, 1029-1033.
- 774 **Gorshkova TA, Morvan C.** 2006. Secondary cell-wall assembly in flax phloem fibres: role of galactans. Planta **223**, 149-158.
- 776 Gorshkova TA, Gurjanov OP, Mikshina PV, Ibragimova NN, Mokshina NE, Salnikov VV,
- 777 Ageeva MV, Amenitskii SI, Chernova TE, Chemikosova SB. 2010. Specific type of secondary 778 cell wall formed by plant fibers. Russian Journal of Plant Physiology **57**, 328-341.
- 779 Gorshkova TA, Mokshina N, Chernova T, Ibragimova N, Salnikov V, Mikshina P, Tryfona T,
- 780 Banasiak A, Immerzeel P, Dupree P, Mellerowicz EJ. 2015. Aspen tension wood fibers contain β-
- 781  $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -galactans and acidic arabinogalactans retained by cellulose microfibrils in gelatinous walls.
- 782 Plant Physiology 169, 2048-2063.

- Gorshkova TA, Chernova T, Mokshina N, Ageeva M and Mikshina P. 2018. Plant 'muscles':
  fibers with a tertiary cell wall. New Phytologist 218, 66-72.
- 785 Goswami L, Dunlop JWC, Jungnikl K, Eder M, Gierlinger N, Coutand C, Jeronimidis G, Fratzl
- P, Burgert I. 2008. Stress generation in the tension wood of poplar is based on the lateral swelling
   power of the G-layer. The Plant Journal 56, 531-538.
- 788 Goudenhooft C, Siniscalco D, Arnould O, Bourmaud A, Sire O, Gorshkova T, Baley C. 2018.
- Investigation of the mechanical properties of flax cell walls during plant development: the relation
  between performance and cell wall structure. Fibers 6, 6.
- Grozdits GA, Ifju G. 1969. Development of tensile strength and related properties in differentiating
   coniferous xylem. Wood Science 1, 137-147.
- 793 Guedes FTP, Laurans F, Quemener B, Assor C, Lainé-Prade V, Boizot N, Vigouroux J, Lesage-
- Descauses MC, Leplé JC, Déjardin A, Pilate G. 2017. Non-cellulosic polysaccharide distribution
   during G-layer formation in poplar tension wood fibers: abundance of rhamnogalacturonan I and
   arabinogalactan proteins but no evidence of xyloglucan. Planta 246, 857-878.
- Huang Y, Fei B, Wei P, Zhao C. 2016. Mechanical properties of bamboo fiber cell walls during the
   culm development by nanoindentation. Industrial Crops and Products 92, 102-108.
- 799 Hermanowicz P, Sarna M, Burda K, Gabrys H. 2014. AtomicJ: An open source software for 800 analysis of force curves. Review of Scientific Instruments **85**, 063703.
- Hock CW. 1942. Microscopic structure of flax and related bast fibres. Journal of Research of the
  National Bureau of Standards 29, 41-50.
- Jäger A, Bader T, Hofstetter K, Eberhardsteiner J. 2011. The relation between indentation
   modulus, microfibril angle, and elastic properties of wood cell walls. Composites Part A: Applied
   Science and Manufacturing 42, 677-685.
- Jakes JE, Frihart CR, Beecher JF, Moon RJ, Stone DS. 2008. Experimental method to account
  for structural compliance in nanoindentation measurements. Journal of Materials Research 23, 11131127.
- Jakes JE, Frihart CR, Beecher JF, Moon RJ, Resto P, Melgarejo Z, Suárez OM, Baumgart H,
  Elmustafa AA, Stone DS. 2009. Nanoindentation near the edge. Journal of Materials Research 24,
  1016-1031.
- 812 Johnson KL. 1987. Contact mechanics. Cambridge University Press.
- Johnson KL, Greenwood JA. 1997. An adhesion map for the contact of elastic spheres. Journal of
  Colloid Interface Science 192, 326-333.
- Konnerth J, Harper D, Lee SH, Rials TG, Gindl W. 2008. Adhesive penetration of wood cell walls
  investigated by scanning thermal microscopy (SThM). Holzforschung 62, 91-98.
- Kozlova L, Petrova A, Ananchenko B, Gorshkova T. 2019. Assessment of primary cell wall
  nanomechanical properties in internal cells of non-fixed maize roots. Plants 8, 172.
- 819 Lafarguette F, Leplé JC, Déjardin A, Laurans F, Costa G, Lesage-Descauses MC, Pilate G.
- 2004. Poplar genes encoding fasciclin-like Arabinogalactan proteins are highly expressed in tension
   wood. The New Phytologist 164, 107-121.
- Liang R, Zhu YH, Yang X, Gao JS, Zhang YL, Cai LP. 2020. Study on the ultrastructure and properties of gelatinous layer in poplar. Journal of Materials Science 56, 415–427.
- Luo L, Zhu Y, JGui J, Yin T, Luo W, Liu J, Li L. 2021. A comparative analysis of transcription networks active in juvenile and mature wood in Populus. Frontiers in Plant Science 12, 675075.
- 826 Mellerowicz EJ, Gorshkova TA. 2012. Tensional stress generation in gelatinous fibres: a review

- and possible mechanism based on cell-wall structure and composition. Journal of Experimental
  Botany 63, 551-565.
- Meng Y, Xia Y, Young TM, Cai Z, Wang S. 2015. Viscoelasticity of wood cell walls with different
   moisture content as measured by nanoindentation. RSC Avances 5, 47538.
- 831 Moulia B, Coutand C, Lenne C. 2006. Posture control and skeletal mechanical acclimation in
- terrestrial plants: implications for mechanical modeling of plant architecture. American Journal of
   Botany 93, 1477-1489.
- Nair SS, Wang S, Hurley DC. 2010. Nanoscale characterization of natural fibers and their composites using contact-resonance force microscopy. Composites: Part A 41, 624-631.
- Niklas KJ. 1992.Plant biomechanics. An engineering approach to plant form and function. Chicago:
  University of Chicago Press.
- Normand AC, Charrier AM, Arnould O, Lereu AL. 2021. Influence of force volume indentation
   parameters and processing method in wood cell walls nanomechanical studies. Scientific Reports 11,
   5739.
- 841 Okumura S, Harada H, Saiki H. 1977. Thickness variation of the G-layer along a mature and a
  842 differentiating tension wood fiber in *Populus euramericana*. Wood Science and Technology 11, 23843 32.
- 844 **Onaka F.** 1949. Studies on compression and tension wood. Wood Research 1, 1-88.
- Özparpucu M, Rüggeberg M, Gierlinger N, Cesarino I, Vanholme R, Boerjan W, Burgert I.
  2017. Unravelling the impact of lignin on cell wall mechanics: a comprehensive study on young
  poplar trees downregulated for CINNAMYL ALCOHOL DEHYDROGENASE (CAD). The Plant
  Journal 91, 480-490.
- 849 Özparpucu M, Gierlinger N, Cesarino I, Burgert I, Boerjan W, Rüggeberg M. 2019. Significant
   850 influence of lignin on axial elastic modulus of poplar wood at low microfibril angles under wet
   851 conditions. Journal of Experimental Botany 70, 4039-4047.
- Peaucelle A. 2014. AFM-based mapping of the elastic properties of cell walls: at tissue, cellular, and
  subcellular resolutions. Journal of Visualized Experiments 89, e51317.
- Pérez-de-Lis G, Rathgeber CBK, Fernández-de-Uña L, Ponton S. 2022. Cutting tree rings into
   time slices: how intra-annual dynamics of wood formation help decipher the space-for-time
   conversion. New Phytologist 233(3), 1520-1534.
- Petrova A, Kozlova L, Gorshkov O, Nazipova A, Ageeva M, Gorshkova T. 2021. Cell wall layer
  induced in xylem fibers of flax upon gravistimulation is similar to constitutively formed cell walls of
  bast fibers. Frontiers in Plant Science 12, 660375.
- Pilate P, Déjardin A, Laurans F, Leplé JC. 2004. Tension wood as a model for functional genomics
  of wood formation. New Phytologist 164, 63-72.
- Pot G, Coutand C, Le Cam JB, Toussaint E. 2013a. Experimental study of the mechanical
  behaviour of thin slices of maturating green poplar wood using cyclic tensile tests. Wood Science and
  Technology 47, 7-25.
- Pot G, Toussaint E, Coutand C, Le Cam JB. 2013b. Experimental study of the viscoelastic
   properties of green poplar wood during maturation. Journal of Materials Science 48, 6065-6073.
- Pot G, Coutand C, Toussaint E, Le Cam JB, Saudreau M. 2014. A model to simulate the
  gravitropic response and internal stresses in trees, considering the progressive maturation of wood.
  Trees 28, 1235-1248.
- **Richardson KC, Jarett L, Finke EH.** 1960. Embedding in epoxy resins for ultrathin sectioning in
  electron microscopy. Stain Technology 35, 313-323.

- 872 **Roach MJ, Deyholos MK.** 2007. Microarray analysis of flax (Linum usitatissimum L.) stems 873 identifies transcripts enriched in fibre-bearing phloem tissues. Molecular Genetics and Genomics
- **278**, 149-165.
- 875 Scurfield G. 1973. Reaction wood: its structure and function. Science 179, 647-655.
- 876 Sell J, Zimmermann T. 1998. The fine structure of the cell wall of hardwoods on transverse-fracture
  877 surfaces. European Journal of Wood and Wood Products 56, 365-366.
- 878 Sudharshan Phani P, Oliver WC. 2019. A critical assessment of the effect of indentation spacing
   879 on the measurement of hardness and modulus using instrumented indentation testing. Materials and
   880 Design 164, 107563.
- Thibaut B, Gril J, Fournier M. 2001. Mechanics of wood and trees: some new highlights for an old
   story. Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences Series IIB 329, 701-716.
- Wagner L, Bader TK, De Borst K. 2014. Nanoindentation of wood cell walls: effects of sample
   preparation and indentation protocol. Journal of Materials Science 49, 94-102.
- 885 Wang X, Ren H, Zhang B, Fei B, Burgert I. 2012. Cell wall structure and formation of maturing
- fibres of moso bamboo (Phyllostachys pubescens) increase buckling resistance. Journal of the Royal
  Society Interface 9, 988-996.
- Xu D, Liechti KM, Ravi-Chandar K. 2007. On the modified Tabor parameter for the JKR–DMT
  transition in the presence of a liquid meniscus. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science 315, 772785.
- Yoshinaga A, Kusumoto H, Laurans F, Pilate G, Takabe K. 2012. Lignification in poplar tension
  wood lignified cell wall layers. Tree Physiology 32, 1129-1136.
- Zhang SY, Fei BH, Wang CG. 2016. Effects of chemical extraction treatments on nano-scale
   mechanical properties of the wood cell wall. BioResources 11, 7365-7376.